

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/627,569	BANSAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N Edwards	1774

All Participants:

(1) N Edwards.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Fred Strickland.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Hansen US 546982

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



N. EDWARDS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner discuss Hansen indepth with the attorney of record and suggested amedments to claim 1 to remove any appearance of conflit. There is no teaching or suggestion of a multiple component spun bonded web comprising the continous sheath -core fibers made the blend as recited by claim 1. Further there is teaching or suggestion of the forgoing spunbond with a grab tensile strength as rectied by claim 1 .